EPHRAIM MOGALE

LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

■ 111 MARBLE HALL 0450

2 013-261 8400

5 013-261 2985



Leeuwfontein Office (013) 261 8509

Elandskraal Office (013) 261 8506

Zamenkomst Office (013) 973 9160

Traffic Section (013) 261 8400

EXTRACTS FROM THE MINUTES OF THE 5th SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF EPHRAIM MOGALE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY HELD ON WEDNESDAY 27TH MARCH 2024

FILE/S 3/2/3/10/1

SC5/01/20224: MUNICIPAL PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT REPORT ON 2022/23 DRAFT ANNUAL REPORT

RESOLVED

That

- The Council having fully considered the Draft Annual Report of Ephraim Mogale Local Municipality for the 2022/23 Financial Year, adopts the Oversight Report on 2022/23 Draft Annual Report, noting that all comments on the Draft Annual Report has been adequately addressed and dealt with by management.
- The Council adopt the 2022/23 annual report without reservation in terms of the S 129(1) (a)MFMA.
- The Oversight Report be made public in accordance with Section 129(3) of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003.

and.

 The Oversight Report be submitted to the Provincial Legislature in accordance with Section 132(2) of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003.

As well as

- The oversight report together with the signed resolution of adoption be submitted to Auditor General, COGHSTA and be published on the municipal website.
- 6. The Municipal Manager implement the decision accordingly.

SC5/01/20224: MUNICIPAL PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT REPORT ON 2022/23 DRAFT ANNUAL REPORT

Melinera
CLLR.RM LENTSOANE
SPEAKER

27TH MARCH 2024

REFERRED TOBY MUNICIPAL MANAGER

MOROPA M E

MUNICIPAL MANAGER

DATE RECEIVED

27/03/2024

MUNICIPAL PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE



OVERSIGHT REPORT ON 2022/23 ANNUAL REPORT
2023/2024 FINANCIAL YEAR

TABLE OF CONTENT

Officials; Committees and Stakeholders	3-4
2.Introduction	5
3. Background	5
4. Terms of Reference of the MPAC/Oversight Committee	6-7
(Appendix A)	9
5.Process Plan and Time Frames (Annexure B)	8-9
6. The Oversight Report	1-51
7. Compliance With Section 121 Of The Municipal Finance	10-16
Management Act (Appendix C)	y
8.Public Hearing (Appendix D)	16-46
9.Project Oversight	46
10.Follow up on previous recommendation on Annual report	47
11. Resolutions of MPAC after consideration of the 2022/23 draft annual report	47-48
12. Comments by the Public, Business and Stakeholders	48-49
13. Recommendation/s of the Municipal Public Accounts Committee	49-51

EPHRAIM MOGALE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY OVERSIGHT REPORT

Officials:

M E Moropa Municipal Manager

T T Modisane Chief Financial Officer

M R Rampedi Director: Corporate Services

P Tjebane Director: PED

PT Maphutha Director: Technical Services

Y Maphutha Mashaba Director: Community Services

R Maepa Manager: IDP and PMS

ML Masombuka Chief Audit Executive

MPAC Support staff

S Makua Manager Council Support

ML Mbonani MPAC Researcher

P Nkadimeng MPAC Coordinator

MPAC members: Current

Cllr T Mabaso Chairperson

Clir NS Letsela Member

Cllr KM Aphane Member

Cllr SE Mahubane Member

Cllr KW Sebothoma Member

Cllr JP Thobejane Member

Audit and Performance Audit Committee Members:

ML Malapela Chairperson

MA Mmapheto Member

LM Mokwena Member

Adv. GT Moeeng Member

Other: Stakeholders

Auditor-General's Office Provincial COGTA Limpopo Provincial Treasury

2. INTRODUCTION

The Municipal Finance Management Act No.56 of 2003 (MFMA) assigns specific oversight responsibilities to Council with regards to the Annual Report and the preparation of an Oversight Report.

Given the processes required by Council to effectively undertake its oversight role, the establishment of an Oversight Committee of Council would provide the appropriate mechanism in which Council could fulfil its oversight responsibilities.

The Oversight Committee's primary role will be to consider the Annual Report, receive input from the various role players and to prepare a draft Oversight Report for consideration by Council.

3. BACKGROUND

The oversight role of Council is an important component of the financial reforms and it is achieved through the separation of roles and responsibilities between Council, the Executive (Mayor and Executive Committee) and Administration. Good governance, effective accountability, and oversight can only be achieved if there is a clear distinction between the functions performed by the different role players.

Non-executive Councilors are required to maintain oversight on the performance of specific responsibilities and delegated powers that they have given to the Executive (Mayor/Executive Committee). In other words, in exchange for the powers in which Council have delegated to the *Executive, Council retains a monitoring and oversight role ensuring that there is accountability for the performance or non-performance of the municipality.

The Municipal Finance Management Act, No.56 of 2003 (MFMA) vests in Council specific powers of approval and oversight

- Approval of budgets;
- Approval of Budget related Policies; and
- > Review of the Annual Report and adoption of the Oversight Report

APPENDIX A

4. TERMS OF REFERENCE: OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

ANC, Independent and DA parties are represented on the Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC/Oversight Committee), and the Performance and Audit Committees members act as advisory to the committee.

The following Six non-executive council members were elected to serve on the MPAC/Oversight Committee to develop a program to address queries raised in the Auditor- General's report and exercise oversight on Municipal Affairs:

1. ANC: Cllr T Mabaso

Cllr KM Aphane

Cllr JP Thobejane

2. DA: Cllr NS Letsela

3. Independent: Cllr SE Mahubane

Cllr KW Sebothoma

- 4. That the elected MPAC/Oversight Committee will submit a report addressing the queries raised by the Auditor General, Public and stakeholders to Council for deliberations.
- 5. That the Committee will take the Oversight report to Council as per the following programme:

The functions of the MPAC/Oversight Committee are to:

- Undertake a review and analysis of the Draft Annual Report going forward
- Interrogate the Draft Annual Report
- Invite, receive and consider inputs from Councilors and Portfolio Committee, on the Annual Report
- Conduct Public Hearing(s) to allow the local community or any organs of the state to make representations on the Draft Annual Report
- > Receive and consider Councils' Audit Committee views and comments on the annual financial statements and performance report.
- Prepare the Oversight Report taking into consideration, the views and inputs of the public, representative(s) of the Auditor General, Organs of State, Councils audit committee and Councilors

The Oversight report is the final major step in the annual reporting process of the municipality. Section 129 of the MFMA requires the council to consider the annual report of its municipality and municipal entities and to adopt an oversight report containing the council's comments on annual report, which must include a statement whether the council-

- (a) Has approved the annual report with or without reservations;
- (b) Has rejected the annual report; or
- (c) Has referred the annual report back for revision of those components that can be revised

The MPAC/Oversight Committee may use the attached checklist to organize its Report and to manage request for additional information. The questions suggested may be used by all councilors to gain clarification on contents of reports and also verify compliance with MFMA and MSA. Responses of many of these questions should be provided by the Accounting Officer of the municipality.

APPENDIX B

5. PROCESS PLAN AND TIMEFRAME FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE OVERISGHT REPORT AND THE ANNUAL REPORT.

Date	Reason	Responsible People	Structure
Done Previous 202122 Date: 24 November 2021(SC4/01/2021) Amendment: Date: 28 January 2022 Resolution Number: OC4/02/2022	Council establishes the MPAC/Oversight Committee	Council	Council
24 January 2024 Resolution Number: OC2/06/2024	Council resolution to adopt Draft Annual Report	Municipal Manager and Mayor's office	Council
24 January 2024	Handover of Annual Report to MPAC and internal audit	Municipal Manager and Mayor's office handover to ML Masombuka and Cllr TL Mabaso	None
24 January 2024 Resolution Number: OC2/06/2024	Draft Annual report copies given to AG and COGTA after consideration of comments from council	Municipal Manager and Mayor's office and ML Masombuka to ensure delivery	None
05 March 2024	Draft advert prepared for submission to MM for public and stakeholder hearings	P Nkadimeng _MPAC Coordinator S Makua _Manager Council Support	None
06 March 2024	Actual advertisement in Local Notice Board, Social Media and	P Nkadimeng _MPAC Coordinator S Makua _Manager Council Support	None

	Municipal Website	MPAC Chairperson for Overseeing	
2 February 2024	First meeting of MPAC on annual Report to recognize the report	MPAC Committee, P Nkadimeng _MPAC Coordinator, MPAC Researcher and Chief Audit Executive	3 rd Ordinary MPAC Meeting
03 March –06 March 2024	Interrogation of Annual Report and drafting of questions	MPAC Committee ,SALGA, Treasury and CoGHSTA(Invited AGSA for presentation)	Special MPAC Meeting
05 -28 February 2024	Meeting(Cluster meetings)	Manager Mayor and Speakers office	Public meeting for Draft Annual report
Upon receipt	Incorporation of AG and CoGHTA comments of Annual Report into Oversight report	N/A	None
25 March 2024	Meeting with MPAC 2 pm	MPAC members, Researcher, Coordinator, Manager Council Support , Chief Audit Executive	Special MPAC to finalize oversight Report
Special meeting to be requested not later than 27 March 2024	Tabling of oversight report to Council	Manager Council Support	Council Meeting
Not letter than 31 March 2024	Submit Oversight report to AG.COGTA and Limpopo Provincial Treasury	ML Mbonani to package the Oversight Report and ML Masombuka and Chairperson _Cllr TL Mabaso to ensure delivery	None
After Adoption of the minutes in a Council formal Meeting	Submit Minutes of Council Meeting Adopting Oversight report to AG.COGTA and Limpopo Provincial Treasury	ML Mbonani to Amend the Oversight Report and ML Masombuka and Chairperson _Cllr TL Mabaso to ensure delivery	None

7. COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 121 OF THE MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT

The following checklist was compiled and utilized to test the compliance with section 121 of the MFMA against the 2022 /23 Draft Annual report as adopted, findings were communicated with the municipal manager to fix before the 25th of March 2024(special meeting to finalize the oversight report on Annual Report).

APPENDIX C

SECTION 121 OF THE MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT

Preparation and adoption	n or annuar	reports
--------------------------	-------------	---------

Question (including legislation if necessary)	Question emanating from the legislation(column A)	Compliance Status √ / X	Portfolio of evidence or reference to annual report	Recommendation /Action(To be included in the MPAC public hearing questions)
121. (1) Every municipality and every municipal entity must for each financial year prepare an annual report in accordance with this Chapter (121 of the MFMA). The council of a municipality must within	Timing 1. Was the Annual Report tabled by 31 January, as per legislative Requirements?	✓	Council Resolution of draft Annual report approval(28 January 24)	Adoption of circular 88
nine months after the end of a financial year deal with the annual report of the municipality and of any municipal entity under the municipality's sole or shared Control in accordance with section 129.	Has a schedule for consideration of the Report been adopted?	√	2.Schedule for Public consultation and approval of annual report	None

 121. (2) The purpose of an annual report is— (a) to provide a record of the activities of the municipality or municipal entity during the financial year to which the report relates; (b) to provide a report on performance 	(a)Does the draft annual report provide the correct records of activities of the municipality? (b) Does the draft annual report provide the performance against	X ✓	Draft Annual Report Draft Annual Report	Correction to the report(see finding section)
against the budget of the municipality or	the budget?			None
municipal entity for that financial year; and	430			
	(c) Does the draft annual report	х	Draft Annual Report	
(c) To promote accountability to the local	promote accountability?	^		Correction to be made
community for the decisions made				reflect correct information
Throughout the year by the municipality or				of the municipality(See
municipal entity.				Finding section)
Compulsory inclusions as per the section	on 121 (3) The annual report of a	municinality must inc	lude—	Tilliang Scotlerly
(a) the annual financial statements of the	(a) Does the Draft annual report	√ Indinoipulity indict inc	Audited annual financial	Not Signed therefore un-
municipality, and in addition, if section	include the audited financial		statement	acknowledgeable
122(2) applies, consolidated annual	statements?		Statement	- Provide signed
financial statements, as submitted to the	statements:			audited AFS
Auditor-General for audit in terms of				addited Al 3
section 126(1);				
(b) the Auditor-General's audit report in	(b) Does the Draft annual report	✓	AGSA Report	None
1 3 2	include the audited financial	3. 5 .	AGGA Report	None
terms of section 126(3) on those financial	statement?			
statements;		✓	Dorformonos roport	None
(c) the annual performance report of the	(c) Does the Draft annual report	v	Performance report	None
municipality prepared by the municipality	include the annual performance			
in terms of section 46 of the Municipal	report?			
Systems Act;	(d) December due (1)	✓	ACCA Deport	None
(d) the Auditor-General's audit report in	(d) Does the draft annual report	Y	AGSA Report	None
terms of section 45(b) of the Municipal	include the Auditor General's			
Systems Act;	report?	. ,	Acceptance and the second	N ■ Politic de ciales
(e) an assessment by the municipality's	(e) Does the draft annual report	✓	Accounted on AFS in	None
accounting officer of any arrears on	include an assessment by the		detail but also on Draft	
municipal taxes and service charges;	municipality's accounting officer		Annual Report	
	of any arrears on municipal			
	taxes and service charges			

(f) an assessment by the municipality's accounting officer of the municipality's performance against the measurable performance objectives referred to in section 17(3)(b) for revenue collection from each revenue source and for each vote in the municipality's approved budget for the relevant financial year;	(f) Does the draft annual report include an assessment by the municipality's accounting officer of the municipality's performance against the measurable performance objectives referred to in section 17(3)(b)	√	Draft Annual Report Performance report	None
(g) particulars of any corrective action taken or to be taken in response to issues raised in the audit reports referred to in paragraphs (b) and (d)	(g) Does the draft annual report include particulars of any corrective action taken or to be taken in response to issues raised in the audit reports referred to in paragraphs (b) and (d)(Audit Action plan)	х	Not Attached or submitted	Provide Audit Action Plan
(h) any explanations that may be necessary to clarify issues in connection with the financial statements;	(h) Does the draft annual report include any explanations that may be necessary to clarify issues in connection with the financial statements;	✓	Draft Annual Report	None
(i) any information as determined by the municipality;	 (i) Does the draft annual report include any information as determined by the municipality; 	✓	Draft Annual Report	None
(j) any recommendations of the municipality's audit committee; and	(j) Does the draft annual report include any recommendations of the municipality's audit committee; and	✓	Draft Annual Report - Report of the audit committee on annual report	- Provide (not provided) Implementation of Audit Committee recommendation
(k) Any other information as may be prescribed.	(k) Does the draft annual report include Any other information as may be prescribed?	√	Draft Annual Report	None

DETAILED MPAC FINDINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION ON THE DRAFT ANNUAL REPORT

- 121(2) the purpose of an annual report is-
- (a) To provide a record of the activities of the municipality or municipal entity during the financial year to which the report relates;

Contrary to section 121(2)(a) of the municipal finance management Act on preparation of the annual report state that the annual report must provide records of Activities of the municipality for the financial year to which the annual report relates ,the draft annual report had the following incorrect information:

- (c) To promote accountability to the local community for the decisions made throughout the year by the municipality or municipal entity.
- 121. Compulsory inclusions as per the section 121(3) the annual report of a municipality must include -
- (a) The annual financial statements of the municipality, and in addition, if section 122(2) applies, consolidated annual financial statements, as submitted to the Auditor-General for audit in terms of section 126(1);
- 1. The adjusted financial statements are not signed therefore not authenticated by the municipal manager and Mayor of the municipality.
- (c) The annual performance report of the municipality prepared by the municipality in terms of section 46 of the Municipal Systems Act;

Section 46 of the Municipal Systems Act dictate that a municipality must prepare for each financial year an annual report consisting of –

- (a) A performance report reflecting -
- (I) the municipality's and any service providers, performance during that financial year, also in comparison with targets of and with performance in the previous financial year:
- 1. The municipality's draft annual report does include the performance of service providers however it's inconsistent with the quarterly reports.
- (G) Particulars of any corrective action taken or to be taken in response to issues raised in the audit reports referred to in paragraphs (b) and (d);
- 1. The municipality's draft annual report does not include particulars of any corrective action taken or to be taken in response to issues raised in

(I) any information as determined by the municipality; / Matters relating to the draft annual report

The following matters that need corrections from the annual report:

1. Table of content:

1.1. Component D: 1.6 Page 3-2.9 Risk Management page 37 not 35

2. Acronyms:

- 1. Page 7: COMMAF Communication of Audit Findings correction to Communication of Management Audit Findings
- 2. Page 9: PR Party Representative Correction to Proportional Representative
- 3. Page 11: Grammar: Is presented in compliance with according to section 121 of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003 and read as well as section 46(1) of the Municipal System Act of 2000. (grammar) correction to 'Is presented in compliance with according to section 121 of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003 read with section 46(1) of the Municipal System Act of 2000
- 4. Page 12: Outlook of the document: Mayor did not sign
- 5. Page 13: Outlook of the document: Municipal Manager did not sign
- 6. Page 14: correction of section Number-Paragraph 2: The name of the Municipality was changed from Greater Marble-Hall to Ephraim Mogale Local Municipality by an amendment of **section 12** notice in Provincial Gazette No 1721 by General notice 10 of 2010 on the 28th of January 2010 with new Logo and slogan-RE HLABOLLA SECHABA which means "WE DEVELOP OUR PEOPLE". Correction to Section 16 and the date to 21 January 2010 instead of 28 January 2010.
- 7. Page 26, Table 24: Municipal committees: the Annual Report Information relates to 2022/23 financial year Cllr Rabalao joined the municipality in financial year 2023/24 replace Cllr Rabalao with Cllr Mello and replace Vacant with Cllr Mello
- 8. Table 24 MPAC, study group and, Rules and Petition and Programming committee: Cllr R Seloma be replaced by Cllr KW Sebethoma (Financial year consideration), Cllr Mahubane be removed from rules and petitions committee, spelling for Cllr Magane is incorrectly spelled as Cllr Magana and Cllr Makola be replaced with Cllr Mogale.
- 9. Table 37 EPWP information, numbering incorrect replace 145 with 85 or alternatively provide correct information that correspond
- 10. Table 54: Approved and reviewed policies: provide correct dates for the council approval of policies from 1 to 15
- 11. Page 23: Overview: The municipality is a category B municipality with an Executive Committee system with thirty-two Councillors, Sixteen ward Councillors and sixteen PR Councillors. The municipality has **eight full time Councillors**, namely the mayor who heads the Executive

committee, the Speaker who is head of Council, the Chief Whip, and five executive committee members, information be corrected to 5 full time councillors which is the Speaker, Mayor and three Executive committee members, chief whip is not full time in office and 2 of executive committee members are not full time in office.

- 12. Page 25: replace Chief Internal Auditor with Chief Audit executive
- 13. Page 26 Table 23: Ephraim Mogale Local Municipality Top Management: Add a column on the Acting CFO and correct to Mr TT Modisane (CFO) from 2 May 2023 to date, MR J Madisha (Acting CFO) from 6 March 2023 to 30 April 2023 and MR K Mabija (Acting CFO) from 1 July 2022 to 28 February 2023(This has financial implications, refer to AFS)
- 13. Page 40-41: Website: information unverifiable on the municipal website
- (j) Any recommendations of the municipality's audit committee;
- 1. The draft annual report does not include the recommendations of Audit committee

8. MPAC Public Hearing

This were the set of questions developed and asked by MPAC in preparation of the Oversight Report in an open platform where the Honorable Mayor and Executive members answered the questions, the questions prepared in accordance to the MFMA section 124 on Annual Report and the questions emanate from the Annual Report received on the 24 January 2024 and subsequently posted on the municipal website, MPAC Had two meetings/engagements and a pre interrogation session to understand and make reference to legislation in considering the annual report for the financial year ended 30 June 2023.

Upon interrogation of the Draft Annual Report on 3rd March 2024 to 6th March 2024 the following matters were raised and noted.

APPENDIX D

PU	PUBLIC HEARING QUESTIONS						
			REPORT 2022/23 FINANC				
_A	GSA REP		,AFS,PERFOMANCE REP				
N	SOUR	P	DETAILS AS PER THE	MPAC QUESTION	RESPO	RESPONSE	Comment/Recommendations
0	CE	Α	SOURCE DOCUMENT	/COMMENT	NSIBLE		of MPAC
	DOCU	G			DEPART		
	MENT	E			/UNIT		

The Municipal Public Accounts Committee would like to congratulate Ephraim Mogale Local Municipality on its achievement of the unqualified audit opinion for financial year 2022/23 which is an improvement from the 2021/22 financial year, we hope this paves a way to clean audit / unqualified audit opinion without findings.

The committee went through the AGSA REPORT as submitted by management as an attachment of the annual report, the Audit report is signed by the auditor general and confirmed to be the correct AGSA report by the AGSA through engagement with the committee on the 5th of March 2024.

1	AGSA	1	Paragraph 7:Material	1. What causes this	Finance/	1. Non-payment of billed	
	2022/2		allowance for	increase of R 9 251	Budget	accounts raises total amount	
	3		impairment	062 in impairment?	and	outstanding and therefore raises	
	AUDIT		7. As disclosed in note 7	2. Does the	Treasury	the allowance for impairment as	
	REPO		to the financial	municipality have an	85	per the methodology approved in	
	RT		statements, the gross	active revenue		credit control and debt collection	
			consumer debtor balance	enhancement		policy.	
			amounted to R 189 653	strategy?		2. Yes, the municipality has an	
			112(2022: R 174 964	3. What is the cause of		approved revenue enhancement	
			027) and as a result of	default of payment by		strategy in place.	
			irrecoverable consumer	the municipality's		3.A larger portion of owned debt	
			debtors, a material	debtors?		arises from farmers wherein the	
			allowance for impairment	4. Do we have any		municipality cannot implement	
			of R 112 241 919 (2022:	Government or state		electricity cut off as their	
			R 102 990 857) was	owned entities that		electricity is provided by Eskom	
			made.	owe the municipality?		and therefore it has become a	
				5. Do we have any		culture of non-payment of rates,	
			MPAC Calculation	councillor or employee		however the municipality has	
			Shift or increase (R 112	that owe the		appointed a debt collector to	
			241 919 - R 102 990 857	municipality?		assist with collection of old debts.	
			= R 9 251 062)	6. What is the action		4.Yes, the municipality has	
				council is going to take		government debts as per the	
				to motivate the		attached	
				consumers/debtors to		5.No	
				pay the municipality in		6. Council has approved a debt	
				time?		incentive scheme wherein a	
				7. In billing the		certain portion of the debt owed	
				consumers do we take		is written of upon payment. 7.Yes	
				into any subsidies to		7.165	
	10	2		the indigents?	y s		

2	AGSA	2	Paragraph 8: An	1. Is the municipality	Legal	1. Yes. Provisions were made in	1. Review of Provisions before
	2022/2		uncertainty relating to	having provisions for		the AFS, however, the AGSA	recorded on the AFS, this item
	3		the future outcome of	the claims, if not why		was of the view that the	must be given the same attention
	AUDIT		exceptional litigation	and if it does why were		provisions were not complete.	as other AFS line items.
	REPO		8. With reference to note	they not disclosed on		AFS were then amended to	2. The respond is not addressing
	RT		39 to the Annual financial	the AFS?		cover all the claims.	the question, this shall be
			statement, the	2. What are the		2. The possibilities are	followed up in all quarterly
			municipality is the	possibilities of the		dependent on several factors	engagements of MPAC with
			defendant in various	municipality winning		and each case will be dealt	Exco.
			lawsuits. The municipality	the cases?		according to its own merits.	3. This must be reflective in the
			is opposing these claims	3. at what stage does		3. Contingent liability/asset is	AFS, if this was done as
			.The ultimate outcome of	the municipality		recognized from the summons.	responded the municipality
			these matters could not	recognises a		(Messer)	would not be having a finding of
			be determined and no	contingent assets or			completeness of provision
			provision for any liability	contingent liability?			register as alluded in response
			was recorded on the	54/53			No 1
	le s		financial statements				2

Y						
3 Audite	7	1. Alfred Motho- On the	Alfred Motho	Legal	Alfred Mothoa.	1.1. Review of litigations
d	4	AFS note 39 the	1.1. Why the	(85)		information on the AFS(future
Annual		municipality has	2022/2023 amount R-		1.1.R 160 000,00 (2022/2023)	reference)
Financi		disclosed that a plaintiff	?		1.2. There was no legal basis for	1.2.None
al		claims the municipal	1.2. Why is the		the action. Yes. The Councillor	1.3.None
Statem		councillor has defamed	municipality a		was addressing a ward	2.1. All cases on the litigation and
ent		his character and he	defended in this case?		community meeting in her	AFS notes must be clear and
		claim he can no longer	Was the councillor on		capacity as the ward councillor.	understandable therefore not
		attend community	duty when the		1.3. No. The Councillor did not	require additional information.
		meetings. The amount	supposed claim was		commit any misconduct or	3.1. Closed cases be removed
		of potential liability is	committed?		breached her oath of office and	from the litigation register and
		(2022/2023) (R-) and R	1.3. Was this matter		that the matter was already	subsequently the AFS.
		160 000(2021/2022)	referred to Ethics		before the Courts.	3.2.None
			committee?		1.4.R 154 176,01	3.3. All cases on the litigation and
		2. Hendricks Schoeman	1.4. How much was		See And Asset Asse	AFS notes must be clear and
		Boerdery pty (Itd)	spend already to		Schoeman Boerdery (Pty) Ltd.	understandable therefore not
		Declaratory Order The	defend the case			require additional information.
		Applicant want the	2. Hendricks		2.1. The R 0.70 is the tariff	
		Court to make an order	Schoeman Boerdery		chargeable for every farm. The	
		that the current tariff of	pty (ltd)		tariff multiplied by the value of the	
		R 0, 070 that the	2.1. What is the		property and Schoeman wants	
		municipality is	meaning of the		the municipality to reduce 75%	
		charging on the farms	statement?		from the R0.70 rate as a result of	
		is incorrect and that the	3. Mosese		rebates as disclosed on the	
		court must calculate	0 0 0		property rates policy	
		the correct tariff. Notice	3.1. The case is from		The matter is sub judice and	
		of motion was served in	- NAME AND RES		before the High Court.	
		May 2022.	possibility of closing			
		The potential Liability			Moses Mokgotleng.	
		R3 070 042.70	3.2. What is the			
		(2022/2023) and R2 619	meaning of this		3.1. The Plaintiff has abandoned	
		763.80(2021/2022)	statement? Is the		the claim in 2019 and is no longer	
		3. Mosese Mogotleng	municipality defending		pursuing the matter. The matter	
		Kgopane	R 250 000 using R 3		is dormant and has been closed.	
		The plaintiff sued the				
			4. What is the current		3.2. The Plaintiff initially sued the	
i i	1/1 (6)	amount of R 250 000 for	progress on all this	at .	municipality an amount of R 250	

4	AGSA	4	pain and suffering, loss of future income & others allegedly for falling into a pit which was allegedly dug and left opened by the municipal officials. The municipality is sued as the second defendant incident allegedly occurred on the 14 June 2014. The amount of the potential liability remains at (2022/2023) (R-) and R3 713 183 (2021/2022).	cases? 1. What is the meaning	Infrastru	000, 00 but he then amended his summons and increased the claim to R 3 713 183? 3.3. The Plaintiff has abandoned the claim in 2019 and is no longer pursuing the matter. The matter is dormant and has been closed. Km of storm water	13. MPAC is of the view that an
	2022/2 3 AUDIT REPO RT	-	indicators AGSA could not determine if the reported achievement were correct as adequate supporting evidence was not provided	of this statement? 2. Why was the information not provided to AGSA for auditing? 3. Is there any consequence management on non-submission of information to AGSA as this might cause the	cture/Te chnical service and Municip al Manager	constructed by 30 June 2023. 1. The statement entails that there is a discrepancy between the indicator and the reported target on the POE, such AG could not make a comparative determination between the reported achievement and the KPI 2. The information was provided, however there was a	AGSA report is finalized after consideration of all findings and adjustments, in this case the municipality failed to convince AGSA.
		¥		municipality a disclaimer opinion? (reference the question to section 171 of the MFMA)		discrepancy between the KPI and the reported achievement indicator (km vs %) 3. The information was submitted; however, it was not satisfactory to substantiate the reported achievement due to the discrepancy that was identified.	

5.	AGSA 2022/2 3 AUDIT	5	Paragraph 27: Material Misstatements Management did not correct all of the	managers	al Manager	of senior managers will be done	AGSA has issued a report that indicate that all misstatement and should there be any performance appraisal /bonus
	REPO		misstatements and	same report that	MANAGER PROPERTY - NATIONAL COMP.	adjustments were made on the	
	RT		AGSA reported material	AGSA is saying it has		report as per the AGSA	,the expense will result to
			findings in this regard -	material		recommendations	fruitless and wasteful
			Reported performance	misstatement? If so			expenditure.
			information	how is the municipality			
				going to reward those			
				that wrote achieved			
				and during the audit it			
				was noted that they			
				did not achieve or			
				information could not			
				be confirmed to be			
				correct?(it should be			
				noted that AGSA			
				scoped infrastructure			
				and community			
				service however the			
				same misstatement			
				might be in other			
				departments as well)			

2022/2 replace 3	121	1. close monitoring of AGS	1. The audit action plan is in	Finance/	1. In this regard what	REPORT ON	5	AGSA	6
AUDIT REPO RT REF: Audite d Rannual Financial Statement The financial statements submitted for auditing were not prepared in all material respect in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the mnt Material misstatement of non-current assets, current assets etc. FINAL EGISLATION Paragraph 32: Annual Financial Statement Audite d Material misstatement O RT Audite d Internal Audit Material reasonsultants failed to address the findings during the previous activity. 2.1. Yes, however schedules were not provided for review. 2.2. Lead schedules not provided for review. 2.3. The material misstatements that were raised during the Audit Process and were subsequently cleared. The previous consultants failed to address the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain. Audite d Financial Statement Audite d For auditing were not provided for review. 2.1. In future Audit commit must be given enough time and Internal Audit Audite d For auditing must be given enough time and Internal 3.1. The material misstatements that were raised during the Audit Process and were subsequently cleared. The previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.	JOA		100			1970年11月1日 -	0.100	301 (20 pt	U
AUDIT REPO RT REF: Audite d Financial Statement Audite d Annual Financi al F	ttee		4:	_	1 1 1 1 1				
REPO RT REF: Audite d Annual Financi al Financi al Financi al Statement Statem ent MFMA Financial Statement 2. The audit committee and internal audit through a consultant has reviewed the AFS. 2.1. Were the recommendations of audit committee taken into consideration? Statem ent MFMA Statem ent Process and were subsequently cleared. The previous addit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain. 2. The audit committee and internal audit through a consultant has reviewed the AFS. 2.1. Were the recommendations of audit committee and internal Audit statements submitted for auditing were not preview. 3.1. The material misstatements that were raised during the audit were adjusted during the Audit Process and were subsequently cleared. The previous consultants failed to address the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.					action to improve:	그렇는 어느는 게 있을 때 회사를 들어가 되었다.	46	5000	
RT REF: Audite d Annual Financi al Statem ent Audite MFMA Audite The financial statements submitted for auditing were not prepared in all material respect in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent MFMA Audite Internal Audit Audit Audit Audit 3.1. The material misstatements that were raised during the audit were adjusted during the Audit activity. 2.2. None 3.1. Same principle be applied audit process and were subsequently cleared. The previous consultants failed to address the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.				Control of Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold	2. The audit committee		1323		
REF: Audite Audite Annual Financi al Statem ent The financial statements submitted for auditing were not prepared in all material respect in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent Material misstatement of non-current assets current asse				70		i manciai Statement	١ ٠		
Audite d for auditing were not Annual Financi al Financi al Statem ent Statem ent MFMA Statem ent Material misstatement of non-current assets , current assets etc. Audite d for auditing were not Annual Financi al Finan		그리고 그는 그리지 않아 없어요. 전 그러는 그리아난 경기를 보았다. 그래 그리고 그리고 있다 다시 그래요?	Tot review.			The financial			
for auditing were not Annual Financi al Financi al Statem ent Material misstatement of non-current assets , current assets etc. for auditing were not Annual prepared in all material recommendations of audit committee taken into consideration? 2.1. Were the recommendations of audit committee taken into consideration? 2.2. Were the recommendations of audit committee taken into consideration? 2.2. How is it that the two above mentioned reviewers could not pick up the findings alluded by AGSA before the audit? Annual prepared in all material respect in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the audit committee taken into consideration? 2.2. None 3.1. Same principle be applied audit committee taken into consultants failed to address the two above mentioned findings during the audit were raised during the audit 2.2. None 3.1. Same principle be applied audit committee taken into consultants failed to address the two above mentioned findings during the audit were raised during the audit were adjusted during the audit all non-adherence to SLA 3.2. Follow up and confirmation which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.	C33		3.1 The material misstatements	Addit		(B. 1984년) (1984년) (B. 1984년) (B.		and the second second	
Financi al Financi al material respect in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent MFMA Material misstatement of non-current assets , current assets etc. Process and were subsequently cleared. The previous consultants failed to address the findings during the Audit Process and were subsequently cleared. The previous consultants failed to address the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.			and the second s		And the second s	San come and account to a different and a second to the comment of		and the same of th	
Financi al with the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent MFMA Tespect in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent MFMA The previous consultants failed to address the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain. The previous consultants failed to address the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.	d in								
Statem ent With the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent MFMA With the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent Material misstatement of non-current assets current assets current assets etc. With the requirements of section 122(1) of the ent Into consideration? 2.2. How is it that the two above mentioned reviewers could not pick up the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain. 3.2. Follow up and confirmation to address the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.	4 111				- 15 시작하다이에 있어요 (프리카의 14 Period Man Period All All Period All All Period All All All All All All All All All Al				
Statem ent Of section 122(1) of the ment MFMA Of section 122(1) of the two above mentioned reviewers could not pick up the findings alluded by AGSA current assets current assets per mentioned reviewers could not pick up the findings during the previous audit which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.	n of	Sign of the Control of the country o			And the state of the	the control of the co		CONTRACTOR STATE	
ent MFMA two above mentioned reviewers could not pick up the findings alluded by AGSA current assets current assets before the audit? two above mentioned reviewers could not pick up the findings being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.		I			1			10.72	
Material misstatement of non-current assets , current assets etc. reviewers could not pick up the findings alluded by AGSA before the audit? which resulted in the municipality being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.	iou								
Material misstatement of non-current assets alluded by AGSA current assets etc. before the audit? being qualified. The expenditure incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.		Sy 1111 7 (S			The same safe in a construction of the control of the same safe and the same safe and the same safe and the s	MI MY		0	
of non-current assets alluded by AGSA incurred for the contracted consultants was not in vain.						Material misstatement			
,current assets etc. before the audit? consultants was not in vain.					1 3	1			
						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
3.Material strengthened to ensure that					3.Material				
misstatement on sufficient review is done to					misstatement on				
Assets Management eliminate misstatements on AFS.			and the state of t		Assets Management				
3.1. In the previous									
year, the municipality 3.2 The R15 000 was deducted			3.2 The R15 000 was deducted		year, the municipality				
had the same finding on the payment of the Service			on the payment of the Service		had the same finding				
and consequently the Provider.			Provider.		and consequently the				
consultant that			5-0 5050		consultant that				
prepared the AFS had					prepared the AFS had				
the assets					the assets				
management					management				
component removed									
from the initial contract									
and the municipality									
further wrote on the									
AFS that an amount of									
R15 000 will be									
recouped in note				3 90	recouped in note		Di 11	9 9	r.

number 44 ,a new	
consultant was hired,	
what will happen to	
this one?	
3.2. How far are we	
with the processes of	
recouping the R15000	
as it appears on the	
AFS?	

The committee will like to congratulate the municipality for moving from 14 items to only 4 that made irregular expenditure in the previous years (R 14 521 338 to R 8 900 115) and this shows improvement in Supply Chain Management

-	4004	-	B		F: .	2-1	4.11
7	AGSA	6	Paragraph 33:	Property and the second	755	1.The amount did not relate to	1.None
	2022/2		Expenditure	259 relate to the	-	the year under review as it	2. Record irregular expenditure
	3		Management:	financial year under	and	emanates from Irregulars which	as such, subsequent payment
	AUDIT		200	review?	Treasury	were identified during/prior to the	must be confirmed after
	REPO		33 Reasonable steps	2. How do you test	>>	financial year 2021/2022,	verification by MPAC.
	RT		were not taken to	subsequent payments		therefore became an opening	3.None
			prevent irregular	on UIFW?		balance in the year under review	4.None
			expenditure amounting	3. What Makes the		(2022/23)	5.Review of the UIFW reduction
			to R 56 416 259 as	amount of R 8 900			strategy
			disclosed in note 45 of	115?		2.lts expenditures on same	37
			the AFS	4. Is the any progress		contracts or services which were	
				for consequence		previously identified and	
				management on the		investigated by MPAC and were	
				above items?		written Off, therefore such	
				5. Does the		payments become subsequent	
				municipality have a		to the events of writing off in	
				UIFW reduction		particular long term contracts.	
				strategy?		3. This amount resulted from	
				Strategy:		newly identified irregular	
						expenditures during the year	
						under review (2023/24) from	
						various transactions.	
						on Appointment of Bank	
						Recon Accountant and Director	
						PED	
						Fig. 1977 Section 1984 Section 1984	
						2.Bid specification did not match	
						the budget	
						3.diviation without reason	
						Calculation era	
						A All matters of the L	
						4. All matters referred for	
						consequence management are	
						still with Financial Misconduct	
						Board and while others	
						disciplinary actions were taken	
						by council during the year under	
	58 96	36			9	review. (2023/24)	

						5. The strategy is in place however has since been approved by council in 2021. Therefore, there is a need for the review which will be done in the next financial year.	
8	AGSA 2022/2 3 AUDIT REPO RT	6	Paragraph 34:Assets Management An effective system of internal control for assets (including the assets register) was not in place, as required by section 63(2) (C) of the MFMA.	of this statement? 2. Any plan to	Budget	1. There were significant deficiencies that was found in the asset register whereby certain information was not contained in the register in line with asset policy which posed limitation. 2. The asset division will conduct a data cleansing on the asset register during the asset verification to ensure compliance with policy.	1.13 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

9 AGSA 2022/2 Appropriate systems and procedures to REPO Paragraph 35: 35. 1. Why is the problem not rectified or finding not resolved? 1. There is a huge shortage of staff to implement the cascading services, of performance to all staff however the process will be done with the help of Human resources.	
3 AUDIT REPO and procedures to not resolved? services, of performance to all staff MM and however the process will be done evaluate performance probabilities of PMS with the help of Human resources.	assist with Performance evaluation and monitoring for staff 2. The committee will make
AUDIT monitor, measure and 2. What are the MM and however the process will be done evaluate performance probabilities of PMS with the help of Human resource	evaluation and monitoring for staff 2. The committee will make
REPO evaluate performance probabilities of PMS with the help of Human resource	staff 2. The committee will make
	2. The committee will make
	[
RT of staff were not resolving this finding in management unit before the end	follow ups on the fourth quarter
developed and the current financial of 2023/2024 financial year.	
adopted, as required by year?	3. Municipal manager to
section 67(1) (d) of the 3. Who is supposed to 2. Mechanism are in place to	
Municipal System Act resolve this finding cascade the performance to all	to activate accountability
32 of 2000 (PMS or Human staff as training of managers or	4.None
- This is a recurring resource Management cascading performance will start	1.0
finding or rather a division) in the Fourth quarter.	regard
statement in our audit 4. Do we have	
report. appropriate human 3. Both PMS & Human resource	
resource /staff that can Management division	
perform the duty as	
required? 4. There is shortage of staff in the	
5. Kindly privilege the PMS Unit as there is only 2	
committee on the personnel which is the Manager	
steps to be taken to PMS and PMS Officer who have	
have an appropriate the task to cascade the	
system and procedure performance of the entire staff.	
to create, measure	
and evaluate 5.1 Manager PMS & PMS Office	
performance of staff.	
5.1. Who will monitor? 5.2. There is shortage of staff in	
5.2. If PMS do we the PMS Unit as there is only 2	
have enough staff to personnel which is the Manager	
look into this? If HR do PMS and PMS Officer.	
we have qualified	
officials that can 5.3 The cascading process wil	
perform the duty? be completed before the end or	
5.3. How far are we in 2023/2024 financially years and	
correcting this? implementation will commence in	
the 2024/2025 financial year.	

1 0	AGSA 2022/2 3 AUDIT REPO RT	6	Paragraph 40: Other Information in the Annual Report "If the other information is not corrected, I may have to retract this auditors report and reissue an amendment report as appropriate. However if corrected this will not be necessary"	Did AGSA conclude that there is a material misstatement to be corrected, if so did we correct the misstatements?	MM and PMS	Yes, the misstatements were corrected as per AGSA recommendation	1.None
1	AGSA 2022/2 3 AUDIT REPO RT	7	Paragraph 46:Internal Control Deficiencies The municipality's reactive approach in addressing inadequate systems of internal control indicates that mitigating processes are not effective		MM and Internal Audit Unit	 Meaning controls are in however not effective. Yes, the municipality is in a process to strengthen controls identified weak/inadequate by auditor general. 	None

1 2	AGSA 2022/2 3 AUDIT REPO RT	7 & 8	Paragraph 51 & 52 :Material Irregularities identified during the Audit:	1. What is the progress to date in recouping the fruitless and wasteful expenditure of R 3 672 703.00? 2. Is there any improved way/tool of verifying before payment to avoid the same incident from happening? 3. With reference to the above State previous procedure and new procedure used in the current financial year if any.	MM and Legal	1. Council has approved for further investigation on the matter and the FMB has developed investigation plan that need to be approved by the accounting officer. 2. Yes 3. Previous & New Procedure used • Previous The Municipality used to solely rely on the engineer's 'quantity verification and measurements during preparation of payment certificates • New Procedure The technicians now form part of the process for quantity verification & Measurements prior preparation of payment certificates	Follow up by MPAC will be done every quarter
1 3	Audite d Annual Financi al Statem ent	8 0 & 9 1	Page 80 Note 44: Fruitless and wasteful expenditure Page 91 Note 49: Prior Period Errors Assets Management	1. It is stated that R 15 000 will be recovered, How far is the municipality with the recovery process as it was not part of UIFW tabled to council? 2. Statement on Mamphokgo Sports Complex, what is the meaning of the statement "completed"?	Finance/ Budget and Treasury	 The R15 000 was recovered from the payment of the Service Provider. The works that were done on the sports complex were done and a practical completion certificate was issued in May 2021. From an accounting treatment we were obliged to have capitalised the project with the value of the work that was done. 	None

	1	10.00	12		1	1 No. 22 1 No. 2 1 No.	
1	2022/2	1	Table 5: Powers and	All the same and t	10 m 10 m 10 m		MPAC will closely monitor and
4	3 Draft	5	functions of the	the municipality in		responsibility to coordinate the	follow the LED department
	Annual		municipality	Local tourism?	cture	tourism sector to ensure that	through its oversight committee
	Report		2017 P. H. H. S. Seelle Avenue D. 1777	1.2. Is there income	and	tourism product owners	
			The municipality ticked	generated from the		understand the overall vision of	
			"yes" on municipal	function of local	ity	government in tourism and	
			authority in :	tourism?	services	complies with regulations.	
				1.3. What is the plan		2. There's no direct income	
			1.Local Tourism (6)	with so many rentals,		generated by the municipality,	
			2.Municpal public	rooms in particular,		but the sector contributes to the	
			Transport(10)	are the owners billed		overall GDP of the Municipality.	
			3. control of	using the business		3. All properties converted into	
			undertaking that sell			rental rooms without prior	
			liquor to the public(21)	municipality benefiting		approval from the municipality	
			4. Facilities for the			are notified of the contravention	
			accommodation, care	12 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		in terms in terms of SPLUMA.	
			and burial of animal(22)	public transport taxi		The municipality is only getting	
			5. licensing of dogs(24)	rank owned by		business rate through the	
			and licensing and	community, who is		revenue system.	
			control undertakings	paying the electricity		revenue eyetem.	
			that sell food to the	there?		4.1. The municipality has the	
			public	3. What is the role of		powers however the current	
			pasio	municipality on control		facility, we are using dumping	
				of undertaking that sell		site to compact the animals with	
				liquor to the public?		waste.	
				4. 1.What is the		4.2. None(no incidence)	
				meaning of this		4.3.No, because such would be	
				statement and why is		animals that are thrown on the	
				the municipality		road side without any	
				deemed to have		communication	
				authority on it?		5. we do have the function within	
				4.2 was there any		Community services department	
				instance where such		Community Services department	
				service was conducted and where			
				The second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second se			
				(specific location)?			
	13 25	io :		4.3 is the municipality	50 S		

1 5	2022/2 3 Draft	2	Organisational Development Overview	generating income from this function? 5. Does the municipality have this functions and which department is dealing with such? 1. Does Human resource division	Human Resourc	Yes, the annual report has many components and human	Committee be reactivated
	Report		to strengthen Human Resource Management -Editorial Committee In the 2021/22 draft annual report public	on the draft annual report about their division? 2. Who are the committee members and their academic	ment/Co rporate	did saw the Editorial Committee line however one will think it belongs the other subdivision after the thorough investigations we discovered that the committee exited years back and	
			hearing ,MPAC asked questions about the Editorial Committee and the response was that in HR division there	level? 3. How is this committee assisting the municipality? 4. Is the annual report		it was headed by former director corporate service. 2. Previous members was Mr Lekola and Mr Matseke however they are no longer employees of	
			is no such committee however the committee reappears in the same department	subjected to this committee?		the municipality and currently the committee does not exist. 3. The committee was never reviewed upon resignation of its two members as a result the committee does not exist.	
						4. in previous years it was ,now that it has no members the current annual report was not subjected to the committee	

1	2022/2	3	Table 27: Below is a	1. The communication	Corpora	The policy and strategy were	None
6	3 Draft	2	communication	strategy and	te	awaiting a policy conference The	
	Annual		checklist of the	communication policy	services	communication strategy and	
	Report		compliance to the	has been draft since	/commu	communication policy was	
	101		communication	2020/2021, 21/2022	nication	approved on the 28 April 2023	
			requirements.	and they are still draft	.1	509547 (PHI	
			Communication Activity	2022/2620 otiolnalityaft			
				annual report, what			
			Communication Unit	are thates challenges			
			Communication Strateg				
			Communication Policy	stratego and inchiace			
			Customer satisfa	ction Yes			
			survey				
			Functional com	plaint Yes			
			management systems				
			Newsletters distribute	d at 4 Quarters			
	5 S		least quarterly				

-	2022/2	•	Faller.		N4	4.4 No.	Institute of A Pt
1	2022/2	3	Follow up on	1. 1.was provisions to		1.1. No	Implementation of Audit
7	3 Draft	6	recommendations of	capacitate internal		1.2. One (1) position and one (1)	Committee recommendation as it
	Annual		Audit and performance	audit unit by		appointed.	can't be correct that a committee
	Report		Committee	appointing Senior	, Budget	1.3.R 2 211 799,6	that has remunerations seat and
				Internal auditor and an	and	2. The municipality has an	recommend but non-
			The Audit and	additional auditor to		approved revenue enhancement	implementation without reasons
			performance	minimize use of	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	strategy in place, although	this qualifies as fruitless and
			committee of council	consultants made?	Internal	revenue enhancement is not	wasteful expenditure
			During the year	1.2. How many		implemented to its full capacity	74 Mills
			reported hereon; the	internal audit positions	office of	due to budgetary constraints, the	
			committee did a	are on the municipal	the	municipal revenue has slightly	
			sterling job in carrying	organogram and fully	speaker	improved. Refer to 2022/2023	
			out its advisory duties	funded? And how	-	AFS	
			in matters relating to	many are appointed to	indigent		
			internal control, risk	date?	s and	3. The UIFW Reduction strategy	
			management, effective	1.3How much was	ICT/IT	is in place however has since	
			governance, oversight	spent on consultants		been approved by council in	
			and advisory on	during the year under		2021. The targeted percentage	
			performance	review for internal		for year under review was 50%	
			management matters	audit?		considering other transaction are	
			as per section 45 of the	To the State of Contract State		long term contracts and will	
			Municipal Systems Act.	2. Do we have a		affect its increment yearly.	
			2 5	revenue enhancement		However, other contracts have	
			The following are some	strategy? And is it		been terminated such as	
			of the key resolutions	implemented fully if we		engineering consultants to	
			and/or	do have one? Was		reduce the expenditures and to	
			recommendations	there improvement on		date the progress is satisfying.	
			made by the committee	revenue (give		The strategy was formulated by	
			in the aforesaid	comparison to		the then Acting CFO in 2021.	
			meetings:	previous financial year			
			STATE AS A AND THE ASSAULT AS A STATE AS A S	with figures)		4. Yes the municipality has now	
			•Make budget	,		centralised contract	
			provisions to	3. Do we have a UIFW		management, in the SCM unit in	
			capacitate internal	reduction strategy and		so far as development	
			audit unit by appointing	on the strategy the		And maintenance of the	
			Senior Internal auditor	municipality is		Register is concerned. Draft	
			and an additional	(8 0.50)		TORs are in place pending final	
	19 E			3,5	10. At	, , ,	

auditor	to minimize use	reduced the UIFW by	approval which then a contract to	
of cons	ultants.	how much? Is the	this effect will be appointed to	
		target achieved?	Manage the contracts	
•Implen	nentation of	When was the strategy	5. The ICT steering committee	
revenue	enhancement	formulated by who?	chairperson was advertised ,still	
strategy	<i>(</i> .	3. Is the strategy	awaiting the appointment	
		developed and	processes	
-UIFW	reduction	implemented on		
strategy	<i>[</i> .	indigent		
•The	municipality			
develor	and implement	4. Is contract		
a strate	egy to improve	Management		
on on	its indigent	centralised? If so, it's		
manage	ement.	central to which		
-Centra	lization of	department?		
contrac	t management.	5. Did the municipality		
•Review	of Audit	Establish ICT steering		
improve	ement Action	committee chaired by		
Plan.		independent external		
•Quarte	rly monitoring	person.		
progres		98 507 500 Lindson (10		
action	plan by	(where Audit and		
conduc	T. T	## Del Sala Del 1910 1911 1915 (Primer) #		
steering				
	j bi-weekly.	recommendations are		
		not implemented give		
	tee chaired by	a reason)		
indeper	dent external			
person.				

1	2022/2	3	2.10 ANTI –	1. what is whistle	Municip	1. What is whistle blowing and	None
8	3 Draft	9	CORRUPTION AND	blowing and who is	100	who is permitted to blow the	None
"	Annual	•	FRAUD	permitted to blow the		whistle	
	Report		- Whistle blowing policy	whistle	/Risk	Whistle blowing is the activity of	
	Корон		Williams Blowing policy	2.How is the	The state of the s	a person, often an employee /	
				municipality	ment	member of the public, revealing	
				implementing the	mont	information about activity within	
				whistle blowing policy		Ephraim Mogale Local	
				3. where can one		Municipality (The Municipality)	
				possibly report or be a		that is deemed illegal, immoral,	
				whistle blower(hotline		illicit, unsafe or fraudulent.	
				number or contact		Any municipal employee /	
				details)		member of the public who has a	
				4.was there any		reasonable belief that there is	
				incident reported by		corruption or misconduct relating	
				whistle blowers in the		to any of the protected matters	
				financial year under		specified above may raise	
				review		concerns.	
				5.How does the			
				municipality protect a		2. How is the municipality	
				whistle blower?		implementing the whistle blowing	
						policy?	
						Through quarterly fraud &	
						corruption awareness, which	
						targeted municipal stakeholders	
						(i.e. municipal employees &	
						members of the public). The Risk	
						Management Unit also keep a	
						register of all incidents of fraud &	
						corruption occurred and report	
						incidents to both the Risk	
						Committee & Audit Committee	
						on a quarterly basis.	
						3.The Municipality make use of	
						the below mentioned hot line	
						numbers: -	
	18 30	55			at a	-Presidential Hotline:17737	u.

(1PRES) -Corruption Watch: 0800 023 456 -National Anti-Corruption Hotline: 0800 701 701 -Office of the Premier Hotline: 0800 864 729 4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for disclosures made without malice			
O800 023 456 -National Anti-Corruption Hotline: 0800 701 701 -Office of the Premier Hotline: 0800 864 729 4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure: An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
O800 023 456 -National Anti-Corruption Hotline: 0800 701 701 -Office of the Premier Hotline: 0800 864 729 4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure: An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1	-Corruption Watch:	
Hotline: 0800 701 701 -Office of the Premier Hotline: 0800 864 729 4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosure Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1	0800 023 456	
Hotline: 0800 701 701 -Office of the Premier Hotline: 0800 864 729 4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosure Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1	-National Anti-Corruption	
-Office of the Premier Hotline: 0800 864 729 4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
4. None reported during the year under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
under review. 5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
5. Any disclosure made in good faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
faith and substantially in accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
accordance with any reporting procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
procedure prescribed by the Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
Municipality is considered to be a protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
protected disclosure. An employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
employee making such a protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1	Municipality is considered to be a	
protected disclosure is protected from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1	protected disclosure. An	
from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for		employee making such a	
from being subjected to any occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1	protected disclosure is protected	
occupationally detrimental victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1	from being subjected to any	
victimisation of any kind as a result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for	1 1 1		
result of the protected disclosure. The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
The Municipality protect whistle blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
blower through the Protected Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
Disclosures Act, Act 26 of 2000, which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
which became effective on February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
February 2001, provides protection to employees for			
protection to employees for		Signature and the second secon	
alsciosures made without malice			
		the state of the s	
and in good faith, in defined			
circumstances.		circumstances.	

4	2022/2	4	0.40 DV LAIMO	A b	DED	4 The Musicia ality has as issued	Mana
1	2022/2	4	2.12 BY-LAWS	1.why was there no	PED	1. The Municipality has reviewed	None
9	3 Draft	U	The manual almost the state	review of by laws		the SPLUM by-Law.	
	Annual		The municipality did			2. The By-law are still relevant to	
	Report		not gazetted any By-			our town and municipal area.	
			laws in the year under			3. The Municipality is	
			review.	to have 6 By-laws		implementing all the By-Laws in	
				which were last		place.	
			Previous financial year			4. The process for analysing the	
			2021/22 Annual report	2017 and this year the		relevancy will be allocated to an	
			2.12. By- Laws	statement still stands		external town planner to ensure	
			Table 32: The table below	that there were no		transparency.	
			indicates the By-Laws	reviews of by-laws, are		4.1. The Land Invasion By Law	
			currently available within			seeks to identify, control and	
			the municipality	relevant to our town		Manage land invasion within its	
			N By-law	and/roomicipal area?		area of jurisdiction. It also	
			0	3. Are they enforced		requires the municipality to	
				aleankiday20/839?		prevent all land invasion in the	
				ity4. Characture stion of the		area.	
			Land Invasion B	y-reben/a03/20048 By-Laws		5. The Municipality is required	
			Law,2017	was posed in the		ensure that all residents living in	
				ale201216/22985traft annual		a recognized informal settlement	
			Local Municipal	ityreposanzettedand the		are registered.	
			Property	responding #201 was "by-			
			Encroachment B	sy-laws are relevant		Electricity	
			Law,2017	unless there is new		Electricity Supply By-law:	
				heregudations that		The by-law always remains	
			regulation of sma			relevant and is similar to the	
				imby <u>teow</u> os6/ <u>in</u> 0 the current		Electricity ACT. Changes usually	
			business Enterpris	estate the by-laws are		takes place when the ACT and its	
			on residential	still relevant however		regulations changes. Instead of	
			stands	an analysis will be		changing the by-law an SSEG	
			4 Telecommunication			policy was developed to guide	
			Mast Infrastructu	rerelevazened		the new development in the	
			By-Law,2017	4.22/08/szhegelevancy		industry. The policy will be	
				test performed, when		revised as the SSEG	
				and what were the		environment keeps on changing.	
	18 18	50		results?	58 5		

|--|

2	2022/2	4	2.14. Public	1. Was an actual	Municip	The survey was last done in 2012	Remove on the annual report
0	3 Draft	1	Satisfaction on			,the survey will be removed from	and in future the non-existing
	Annual		Municipal Services	year under review?		the annual report.	statement must not be included
	Report		"Community	How? By who and		The state of the s	
			satisfaction survey	T = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =	of the		
			conducted has	2. Which villages	Speaker		
			highlighted important	made the survey			
			challenges facing the	impossible and how?			
			municipality. Among				
			the challenges facing				
			the municipality is to				
			confront the issues in				
			certain villages which				
			made it impossible for	vehicle licensing,			
			survey to take place.	streets and storm			
			Many of the residents'	water, housing and			
			have indicated their	libraries as this is a			
			displeasure about	recurring matter?			
			service delivery. These	(Same statement			
			residents complain	appears in 2020/2021,			
			about traffic control	2021/22 and 2022/23)			
			and motor vehicle				
			licensing, streets and				
			storm water, housing and libraries. These				
			issues could result with				
			negative image and				
			untenable				
			consequences to the				
			municipality. They				
			however credit the				
			municipality, and they				
			are happy with the				
			overall performance of				
			the municipality as a				
			reflection of the current				
	18		government."		- II		

2	2022/2	4	Chapter 3: Service	1. Did the municipality	Infrastru	The matter was escalated to	None
1	3 Draft	522	Delivery	escalate the matter?		WSA and subsequently the	110110
3.437	Annual	-	3.2. Waste Water	Was there any		Contractor was appointed and	
			[18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18]				
	Report		(Sanitation Provision)	response? What is the	2014	currently on site.	
			L. 0004/00	progress so far?	the		
			In 2021/22 public		Mayor		
			hearing on draft annual				
			report, the committee				
			raised a concern about				
			the Sewerage in Marble				
			Hall Ext 6 on the				
			unbearable smell and				
			asked if is there any				
			intervention the				
			municipality can				
			provide as this affects				
			rates payers?				
			Company of the Compan				
			The response was that				
			At that moment there				
			was nothing that the				
			Municipality could do to				
			assist with sewer fumes				
			however the				
			municipality promised				
			to escalated to WSA				
			Sekhukhune District				
			Municipality.				

Annual Report Report Report Report Report Annual Report Report Annual Report R	2	2022/2	5	3.4. Waste Management	The municipality	Commu	1.A year after the Municipality	Prioritize the operational
Annual Report Report **Contract EPWP are assisting to keep the service operational, but administratively serious backlogs and operational procedures are not in line with the waste guidelines." 1. Why are the operational procedures asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the potential waste guideline action in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 3. What is the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 4. The poerational procedures with waste guideline acting into in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 4. The poerational procedures which waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the s	2225	Section of the second	~ 100	5.4. Waste Management		75		The state of the s
Report sarvice operational, but administratively serious backlogs and operational procedures are not in line with the waste guidelines." In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures still not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures still not in line with waste guideline cannot in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent	_			"Contract EPWP are			7.54 C	
service operational, but administratively serious backlogs and operational procedures are not in line with the waste guidelines." In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? Waste post is on a process of appointment. 2. The operational procedures which we have then budgeted for some of vehicles which is Landfill compactor for daily waste covering and compaction. Waste post is on a process of appointment. 2. The operational procedures will not in line with waste guideline due to lack of equipment, which we have then budgeted for some of vehicles which is Landfill compactor for daily waste covering and compaction. 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent						Oci vices		- ISENUTYPERUTYPE
administratively serious backlogs and operational procedures are not in line with the waste guidelines." In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures asked the following questions: 2. What is the operational procedures which is Landfill compactor for daily waste covering and compactor for daily waste covering and compaction. 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures still not in line with waste guidelene due to lack of equipment, which we have then budgeted for some of vehicles which is Landfill compactor for daily waste covering and compaction. 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures still not in line with waste guidelene due to lack of equipment, which we have then budgeted for some of vehicles which is Landfill compactor for daily waste covering and compaction.		Keport						The Control of the Co
serious backlogs and operational procedures are not in line with the waste guidelines." In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent					2022.			Statement.
are not in line with the waste guidelines." In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent size of the procedures still not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the superintendent statement was presentedent.					1 Why are the		арропинени.	
are not in line with the waste guidelines." In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent							2 The operational procedures	
waste guidelines." In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? 1. Why is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
In the previous financial year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent saked the following the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				waste garacimes.	[- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [-		♥	
year the committee asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures root in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				In the previous financial				
asked the following questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. What is the progress to date in getting them in line with the waste guideline? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent							_	
questions: 1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent					[- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [-			
1. Why are the operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent							The state of the s	
operational procedures not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				ac and a second			oompasom	
not in line with waste guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1. This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
guideline taking into consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				시간 (1. 프라마스) 전에 가지를 보고 있는데 가는데 있는데 없는데 보고 있다. (1. 프라마스) 전에 가는 사람들이 되는데 되었다. (1. 프라마스) 네트를 받는데 하다.				
consideration that in the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				The state of the s	and the second s			
the previous financial year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
year's draft annual report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				the previous financial				
report the same statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent					quideline?			
statement was presented? 2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				report the same	-			
2. Who is supposed to insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
insure that the operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				presented?				
operational procedures are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				2. Who is supposed to				
are in line with the waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent				insure that the				
waste guideline? The response was that: 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
The response was that : 1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
1.This mainly refer to the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
the landfill site compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
compliance guidelines, due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
due to the vacancy of the Superintendent								
the Superintendent								
Waste for 2 years and 2								
		18 32	is:	Waste for 2 years and 2		90 A		

months some	
months some	
procedures were not	
administratively	
captured	
2. The Manager social	
services at that time did	
the work of the	
Superintendent waste	
and parks and acted as	
director for a period	
and his own managerial	
work It is administrative	
work that needs to be	
captured and reflected	
on .	

-	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000	manar a ponto de la restric		100.00	NOT SELECT TO THE TAXABLE PROPERTY.	The same of the sa
2	2022/2	5	"A weighbridge was	1. How far is the	Commu	1. The strategic approach on the	None
3	3 Draft	2	installed at the Landfill	municipality in	nity	weighbridge progress is that we	
	Annual		site however we are still	addressing the	Services	budgeted for the fully functional	
	Report		establishing the correct	operational challenges		weighbridge maintenance and	
	345		manner to operate the	as alluded on the		calibration for 2024/25 financial	
			weighbridge to its full	paragraph?		year. And previous years we	
			capacity. The control of	2.In the previous		didn't achieve due to limited	
			the entrance was not	financial year ,the		resources.	
			effective due to many	annual report stated			
			operational challenges	that a strategic		2.1. The weighbridge is being	
			and waste being	approach on the		utilized as it can capture the	
			disposed are still	weighbridge		vehicle registration although the	
			estimated. A strategic	operations and		tonnages weighed are not	
			approach on the	training and license		accurate, however the	
			weighbridge operations	update will be done in		Municipality conduct External	
			and training and license	the next financial year		audit yearly with	
			update will be done in	2.1. The Next financial		Environmentalist.	
			the next financial year."	year referred to on the			
			***	21/22 annual report		2.2. They are the one who can	
				was supposed to be		calculate estimated closure or	
				22/23 annual report		airspace of the landfill.	
				which is the report			
				under review, Now		2.3. Limpopo Department:	
				why the postponement		Economic Development,	
				or why did it not		Environment and Tourism	
				happed as promised?		(LEDET) has appointed Service	
				2.2. Can the annual		Provider for the extension of	
				subscriptions or		landfill designs and amendment	
				license update done		of the landfill licence.	
				annually on the			
1				weighbridge be			
				regarded as fruitless			
				and wasteful activity if			
1				linked to an			
1				expenditure also			
1				fruitless and wasteful			
1	98	Si :		expenditure as the	90. S		

2	2022/2	5	Re allocation of	New strategy needs to	PED	1. The project for review of the	1 and 2 Follow up will be made in
4	3 Draft	8	hawkers to the hawker	be formulated			all to track the review of strategy
	Annual		stall away from the N11	(statement is the same		2023/2024. In the previous	as the LED strategy was
	Report		is still a huge challenge,	as the one of 21/22		financial year the department	envisaged to be reviewed in
			the process is stuck			was developing the procedures	2022/23 annual report.
			due to resistance from	The committee		for the project and identifying	4,574.5
			the hawkers to move to	requested progress on		gaps within the existing LED	
			the hawker stall and the	the formulation of the		strategy.	
			deliberately stay away	strategy and the		2.The reviewed LED strategy will	
			from the meetings. A	response was that the		be able to guide on the relocation	
			new strategy needs to	formulation of the LED		of Hawkers once completed	
			be formulated for	strategy is on initiation			
			implementation and	stage.			
			Participation"	7			
				1. Why are we			
				formulating a new			
				strategy again? What			
				happened to the one			
				that was on initiation			
				stage in the previous			
				financial year?			
				2. If the re allocation is			
				successful where is			
				the municipality			
				relocating the hackers			
				to? Is there enough			
				space to cater all the hawkers?			
				Hawkers!			

- 10. FOLLOW UP ON RESOLUTION OF PREVIOUS MPAC ANNUAL REPORT OVERSIGHT REPORT.
- 10.1. That the steering committee made of directors and chaired by the Accounting officer will holds meetings on a monthly basis to report on the progress made in the implementation of the action plan and Internal audit unit assign one internal auditor to conduct follow-up audits on a monthly basis to verify and corroborate with evidence the progress reported in the steering committee meetings. Such internal audit reports will be presented and discussed in the steering committee meetings.
- -Implemented and progress hence the unqualified Audit opinion,
- 10.2. That council approves the 2021/22 draft annual report in all its material aspect.
- -Implemented, the Draft was approved.
- 10.3. That Council implements the resolution to allocate budget vote for MPAC in the next financial year.
- -Implemented, a separate vote for MPAC was created
- 10.4. All document submitted to MPAC must be complete, reviewed and approved (signed).
- Not implemented (AFS was not signed when sent for interrogation of AR and the Draft AR)
- 10.5. Implementation of Audit Action Plan
- Not fully implemented, at the end of financial year it was 87% implemented
- 10.6. Recording of Public hearing meetings must be submitted to MPAC office immediately after the public hearing.
- Implemented
- 10.7. Monitoring of Municipal projects must be first priority as there are many defects (Poor Project Management on project).
- On going (Implemented)
- 11. RESOLUTIONS OF MPAC AFTER CONSIDERATION OF THE 2022/23 DRAFT ANNUAL REPORT
- 11.1. That the steering committee made of directors and chaired by the Accounting officer will holds meetings on a monthly basis to report on the progress made in the implementation of the action plan and Internal audit unit assign one internal auditor to conduct follow-up audits on a monthly basis to verify and corroborate with evidence the progress reported in the steering committee meetings. Such internal audit reports will be presented and discussed in the steering committee meetings.

- 11.2. All document submitted to MPAC must be complete, reviewed and approved (signed).
- 11.3 Implementation of Audit Action Plan
- 11.4. Monitoring of Municipal projects must be first priority as there are many defects (Poor Project Management on project).
- 11.5. Recommendation of the Audit committee recommendations
- 11.6. Prioritize the operational procedures to be in line with the waste guidelines
- 11.7. Capacitating PMS unit by appointing a personnel who will assist with Performance evaluation and monitoring for staff
- 11.8. Capacitation of Internal Audit Unit
- 11.9. That council approves the 2022/23 draft annual report in all its material aspect.

12. COMMENTS BY THE PUBLIC

The public/stakeholder consultative meeting was held physically to clustered areas of the Municipality to cover the whole demarcation of Ephraim Mogale local Municipality they were held in different dates as follows:

- 1. UIGHTVLUG ward 2(05/02/2024)
- 2. RATHOKE Ward 4 (07/02/2024)
- 3. MOGANYAKA Ward 9 (09/02/2023)
- 4. MABITSI A Ward 12(13/02/2024)
- 5. MATLALA RAMOSHEBO WARD 5-Joint Program with Sekhukhune District Municipality (15/02/2024)
- 6. MORARELA COMMUNITY HALL Ward 15 (19/02/2024),
- 7. MUNICIPAL COUNCIL CHAMBER (20/02/2024) (Only one Kgosi attended)
- 8. MUNICIPAL TOWN HALL (28/02/2024)

This meetings were physically attended by the Honorable Mayor Cllr, Speaker of Council and other Cllrs.

The public/stakeholder consultative meeting commenced with an introduction of the Draft Annual report of 2022/23 financial year and thereafter a detailed presentation by the Honorable Mayor.

Lastly there was a question and answer session as well as time for commentary. However it was noted that comments received from the public via the Public Consultation Process did not yield any comments that suggested changes to the Annual Report or its presentation and formulation but rather focused on service delivery and requests for future representation. Comments made during the consultation of the Annual report are highlighted below:

	Question/Comments/Requests (including legislation if necessary)	Question asked by:
1.	Municipality to provide learner ship and apprenticeship for engineer and commerce (Request)	Mr. Tebogo Mogashane
2.	- On the institutional performance there is 26 unachieved KPI, the stakeholder asked to be privileged with the Non-achieved KIPs (A question and it was responded to immediately) - New stands at Driefontein need grader to open roads(request)	Mr. Mashabela Petrus
3.	Openness's on the recruitment EPWP	Mr. Motho Mmako
4.	The stakeholder requested that the Municipal Manager and other Cllr's specifically Cllr Jacobs (DA) and {Afri Forum} Prinslo attend the public meetings to at least show that they take the public serious (Request)	Mr. Ntate Baloyi
5.	The stakeholder raised on appreciation of job of DFEE stating that it assist in poverty eradication and encourage the municipality to continue with such programs and create permanent employment(Appreciation and comment)	Mr. Mokwevo Densel
6.	The stakeholder requested that when the programs of public participation are taken to the communities ,the invitation must be laud haled for at least two consecutive days	Mr. Oupa Chochi
7.	Re-Fencing in the graveyards as the fence was stolen (Request)	Mr. Lekganyetsi collen
8.	Completion of Mamphokgo sport complex to be prioritized as the project has been on for 6 years (2017-2024)	Mr. Morwaswi Phaka

13. RECOMMENDATIONS OF MPAC

The onerous requirements of so many legislative mandates, makes it difficult to achieve an unqualified Audit Opinion, a feat that very few municipalities achieve in South Africa. This improvement from a qualified audit opinion obtained in 2021/22 financial year to an unqualified audit opinion achieved in the 2022/23 financial year highlights an improvement in terms of instilling and maintaining adequate internal controls but also we believe with proper implementation and Monitoring of the Audit Action Plan, We have noted with concern the manner in which the Annual Financial Statements were prepared taking into consideration that the municipality is currently using consultants to prepare the annual financial statement and also dissatisfied with the constant findings around Annual Performance Report and this report is always on qualified Audit opinion. In line with our oversight function we undertook a thorough assessment on the Annual Report supported by the MPAC Researcher and internal audit unit. We have met with stakeholders to discuss areas of concern and we have noted that recommendations and changes requested by the MPAC have been adhered and corrected in good faith.

The Oversight Committee commends Council, Management and all staff at Ephraim Mogale Local Municipality on the strides made towards good governance. However, we believe that to achieve target set for clean audit status we need to continuously improve.

Having performed the following tasks:

- Reviewed and analyzed of the Annual Report;
- Invited, received, and considered inputs from Councilors and community members on the Annual Report; Considered that all the requests and comments were having an impact or change on the outlook of the Annual Report from the public consultation process;
- Conducted Public Hearings to allow the local community, stakeholders or any organs of state to view and listen to the Mayor and Exco responding to MPAC in relation to the previously made comments on Annual Report;
- Received and considered Council's Audit Committee views and comments on the annual financial statements and the performance report; and
- ➤ Prepared the draft Oversight Report, taking into consideration, the views and inputs of the public, representatives of the Auditor-General, organs of state, Council's Audit Committee and Councilors;

The Oversight Committee has the pleasure in presenting the Oversight Report to Council to consider and approve the following resolution.

RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND

 That Council having fully considered the Annual Report of Ephraim Mogale Local Municipality for the 2022/2023 Financial Year, adopts the Oversight Report for the 2022/23 Financial Year, noting that all comments on the Annual Report has been adequately addressed and dealt with by management(as set out under chapter 6 oversight report)

And

2. That council adopt the 2022/23 annual report without reservation in terms of the MFMA S 129(1) (a)

And

2. That the Oversight Report be made public in accordance with Section 129(3) of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003.

And

3. That the Oversight Report be submitted to the Provincial Legislature in accordance with Section 132(2) of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003.

As well as

 That the oversight report together with the signed resolution of adoption be submitted to Auditor General and CoGHSTA

MODALO

25/03/2024

CLLR TL MABASO

DATE

CHAIRPERSON

MUNICIPAL PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE